Case 4:18-cv-05758-JST Document 86-6 Filed 11/22/19 Page 1 of 3

1	M.D. SCULLY (SBN: 135853)			
2	mscully@grsm.com TIMOTHY K. BRANSON (SBN: 187242)			
3	tbranson@grsm.com HOLLY L.K. HEFFNER (SBN 245384)			
4	hheffner@grsm.com MICHAEL D. KANACH (SBN 271215)			
5	mkanach@grsm.com GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHA	NI LLP		
6	101 W. Broadway, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101			
7	Phone: (619) 230-7441 Fax: (619) 696-7124			
8	MARC J. KESTEN (SBN: 152741) Legal@VPXsports.com			
9	VITAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. 1600 North Park Drive			
10	Weston, FL 33326			
11	Phone: (954) 641-0570 Fax: (954) 389-6254			
12	Attorneys for defendant VITAL PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., d/b/a VPX SPORTS			
13	VIII III III III III III III III III II			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	IN RE BANG ENERGY DRINK MARKETING LITIGATION	Case No. 4:18-cv-05758-JST-RMI (Consolidated with 4:18-cv-06300)		
17		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING		
18		DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL		
19		ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (ECF 82) AND DENYING MOTION TO		
20		CÓMPEL THE TRADE SECRET BANG FORMULA		
21 22		Complaint filed: September 19, 2018 Consolidated Class Action Complaint filed:		
23		October 31, 2019		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Defendants Vital Pharmaceuticals, Inc. d/b/a VPX Sports' ("VPX") moved for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge pursuant to Rule 72(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil L.R. 72-2, objected to the Honorable Robert M. Illman United Stated Magistrate Judge's order dated November 8, 2019 (ECF No. 82), and requested an order denying Plaintiffs' motion to compel production of documents responsive to request No. 30 because it requires production of VPX's complete and un-redacted confidential trade secret formula for the BANG® energy drink product. VPX objected to the following potion of the Order (ECF No. 82):

1. Defendant is ordered within 21 days of entry of this order to provide Plaintiffs with documents concerning the formulations of the Bang energy drinks in response to Plaintiffs' Request for Production No. 30.

The Court, having read and considered the motion, including the Notice of Motion and Motion and objections, VPX's Memorandum of Points and Authorities attached thereto, the Declaration by Michael D. Kanach and exhibits thereto, the record before the Court, including the Parties' letter brief (ECF No. 77), the parties' informal oral argument (ECF Nos. 80-81), and the Magistrate Judge's Order (ECF No. 82), other filings by the Parties in this consolidated case, and upon such oral argument and written submissions that may be presented at, before, or in connection with the hearing on this motion, and for the reasons set forth in the record at the hearing, if any, the Court makes the following three findings:

First, VPX's formula is a proprietary trade secret and VPX has met its burden to show that the information sought in response to Request for Documents No. 30 are trade secrets or similar confidential information.

Second, VPX would be harmed by the disclosure of its trade secret formula to a competitor, particularly Monster, and by public disclosure of this information to undisclosed experts, at open hearings, and at trial.

Third, disclosure of VPX's secret formula is not necessary and Plaintiffs have not met their burden of showing otherwise. Because VPX disclosed that there is less than 40 mg of CLL and there is 300 mg of caffeine in a can of BANG®, the production of the formula is not necessary, and Plaintiffs' motion to compel production should be denied.

Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani, LLP 2211 Michelson Drive, Suite 400

Irvine, CA 92612

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

Defendants' Motion for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate
 Judge (ECF No. 82) is hereby GRANTED in its entirety.

- 2. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (ECF No. 77) related to the formula is denied.
- 3. VPX is not compelled to produce documents to Plaintiffs concerning the

formulations of the Bang energy drinks in response to Plaintiffs' Request for Production No. 30.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

_		
Date:		

JON S. TIGAR

Judge of the United States District Court

ii